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Synopsis Due to their large size (~3–5 Gb) and high repetitive content, the study of cephalopod genomes has historically been problematic. However, with the recent sequencing of several cephalopod genomes, including the Hawaiian bobtail squid (Euprymna scolopes), whole-genome studies of these molluscs are now possible. Of particular interest are the sepiolid or bobtail squids, many of which develop photophores in which bioluminescent bacterial symbionts reside. The variable presence of the symbiosis throughout the family allows us to determine regions of the genome that are under selection in symbiotic lineages, potentially providing a mechanism for identifying genes instrumental in the evolution of these mutualistic associations. To this end, we have used high-throughput sequencing to generate sequence from five bobtail squid genomes, four of which maintain symbioses with luminescent bacteria (E. hylelbergi, E. albatrossae, E. scolopes, and Rondeletiola minor), and one of which does not (Sepietta neglecta). When we performed K-mer based heterozygosity and genome size estimations, we found that the Euprymna genus has a higher predicted genome size than other bobtail squid (~5 Gb as compared to ~4 Gb) and lower genomic heterozygosity. When we analyzed the repetitive content of the genomes, we found that genomes in the genus Euprymna appear to have recently acquired a significant quantity of LINE elements that are not found in its sister genus Rondeletiola or the closely related Sepietta. Using Abyss-2.0 and then Chromosomer with the published E. scolopes genome as a reference, we generated E. hylelbergi and E. albatrossae genomes of 1.54–1.57 Gb in size, but containing over 78–81% of eukaryotic single-copy orthologs. The data that we have generated will enable future whole-genome comparisons between these species to determine gene and regulatory content that differs between symbiotic and non-symbiotic lineages, as well as genes associated with symbiosis that are under selection.

Introduction While cephalopods have long been model systems for the study of physiology, neurobiology, and a myriad of other disciplines, the first cephalopod genome, that of Octopus bimaculoides, was not sequenced until 2015 (Albertin et al. 2015; O’Brien et al. 2018). Since then the list of sequenced cephalopod species has grown significantly to include four octopuses (Albertin et al. 2015; Kim et al. 2018; Zarrella et al. 2019; Li et al. 2020) and three squid, including the Hawaiian bobtail squid Euprymna scolopes (Belcaid et al. 2019; da Fonseca et al. 2020; Yoshida et al. 2020). As revealed by these efforts, cephalopod genomes are variable in size but generally large, spanning a range of 2.7–5.1 Gb. However, this large size and range, which was previously hypothesized to be a result of whole-genome duplications (Hallinan and Lindberg 2011; Yoshida et al. 2011) is instead due to high variability in intronic sequences, a high proportion of repetitive sequences, and extensive genomic rearrangements (Ritschard et al. 2019). This variability within the Cephalopoda is thought to underpin the significant evolutionary novelty within the group, such as the drastic neurological innovations in the octopuses and the symbiotic organs found in squid.
The sepiolid, or bobtail squid (Family Sepiolidae) are a family of small, nektobenthic cephalopods that can be found throughout the world. Most bobtail squid form a well-known symbiosis with bioluminescent gram-negative bacteria in the *Vibrionaceae*, and this association is thought to be an ancestral trait of the subfamily Sepiolinae (Pankey et al. 2014). The bacterial partners are housed in a specialized light organ that develops on the ventral surface of the ink sac to allow bacterial luminescence to be directed beneath the animal and therefore enable the host to camouflage itself through counterillumination (Jones and Nishiguchi 2004; McFall-Ngai et al. 2012). However, it is notable that several members of the Sepiolidae have independently lost the ability to form a light-organ symbiosis, and can even be found in the same habitat as their symbiotic counterparts (Fig. 1A, Nishiguchi et al. 2004). Female bobtail squid also elaborate a second symbiotic organ, called the accessory nidamental gland (ANG), which houses a consortium of bacteria that assist in the protection of the female’s offspring after the cells are supplemented in the chorion, or “jelly coat” of the egg (Kerwin and Nyholm 2017; Suria et al. 2020).

While ANG symbioses can be found throughout the Decapodiformes (the cephalopod superorder containing squid), bobtail squid offer a rare opportunity to study the evolution of both of these symbiotic organs in the same clade and even the same individuals, from the genomic underpinnings of organ evolution to the functional capacity of specific proteins. In fact, the recent published *E. scolopes* genome provided evidence that evolution of the light organ is associated with the co-option of recently duplicated genes, whereas the ANG is enriched in transcripts that are cephalopod novelities, suggesting separate modes of evolution in these two symbiotic organs (Belcaid et al. 2019).

One clade of particular interest within the family Sepiolidae is *Euprymna*, a genus of bobtail squid distributed across the Indo-West Pacific and Indian Oceans. While the Hawaiian bobtail squid *E. scolopes* is the best-studied species of this genus due to its status as a model system for microbial symbiosis (McFall-Ngai et al. 2012), other species also harbor symbionts and so have characteristics that make them intriguing model systems as well. Two closely related squid, *Euprymna hylebergi* and *Euprymna albatrossae*, are of great interest due to their dispersal across the south Pacific and well-defined local population structure (Fig. 1B, Jones et al. 2006; Coryell et al. 2018). In fact, the two characterized populations of *E. hylebergi* and their symbionts are geographically separated due to living on opposite sides of Thailand, resulting in a lack of gene flow between populations as measured by mitochondrial haplotype (Jones et al. 2006). While these data are intriguing, squid genetic studies performed so far have been based on mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase (COI) haplotypes, and so information regarding genes that might be under selection or genomic heterozygosity has yet to be collected.

In this study, we present genome size and heterozygosity estimates for four species of bobtail squids: *Rondeletiola minor*, a symbiotic Mediterranean species with a round light organ, *Sepiotta neglecta*, a species found in the Mediterranean that has lost the light organ; *Euprymna hylebergi* from Thailand; and *Euprymna albatrossae* from the Philippine archipelago (See Fig. 1). These data show that members of the *Euprymna* genus have larger predicted genome sizes and lower genome heterozygosity than that of their Atlantic sister genera, and that lack of a light organ in *S. neglecta* does not appear to change these metrics as compared to *R. minor*. In addition, using the published *E. scolopes* genome as a reference, we were able to assemble 1.54–1.56 Gb of the *E. hylebergi* and *E. albatrossae* genomes into scaffolds containing 78.88% (*E. hylebergi*) and 81.19%
Short-read sequencing in sepiolid squid genomics

**Results and discussion**

**Genome characteristics of different genera within the sepiolidae**

To gather genomic information for the four species of interest, we first generated short-read sequencing data from those species using Illumina NovaSeq or HiSeq sequencers. As the genomes of marine species tend to be more heterozygotic than those of their freshwater and terrestrial counterparts (DeWoody and Avise 2000), we used a single animal for each library. After sequencing, we filtered the resultant reads to remove those with low quality scores and were shorter than 15 bp (see Materials and Methods). The number of reads and total bases sequenced in each library can be found in Table 1.

We processed the resultant filtered reads with Jellyfish (Marçais and Kingsford 2011) to generate a histogram of 21 nucleotide k-mers that we then analyzed with GenomeScope 2.0 (Ranallo-Benavidez et al. 2020) to determine the estimated size and heterozygosity of the four genomes (Table 2). Graphs showing the kmer frequency and coverage estimated by GenomeScope can be found in Fig. S1. We found that *E. hyllebergi* had a predicted genome heterozygosity of 1.362–1.512% and a predicted genome size of between 4.247 and 4.294 Gb, which is similar to the predicted heterozygosity of 0.910–1.196% and genome size of 4.356–4.421 Gb of *E. albatrossae*. These values are in contrast to those of the sister genera that we sequenced, with *R. minor* exhibiting a predicted heterozygosity of 1.883–1.996% and predicted size of 3.335–3.381 Gb, and *S. neglecta* having a predicted heterozygosity of 2.149–2.247% and predicted genome size between 3.389 and 3.411 Gb. Since the sequenced *E. scolopes* genome is approximately 5 Gb in size and that k-mer based estimation techniques such as GenomeScope can underestimate the size of repeat-rich genomes (Pflug et al. 2020), it is likely that the true genome sizes of *E. albatrossae* and *E. hyllebergi* are closer to 5.0 Gb. However, the large discrepancy between those species along with *R. minor* and *S. neglecta* suggests that even if these genome sizes are underestimated, the genome size of both species is about 1 Gb smaller than those in the genus *Euprymna*.

Transposable elements make up a large proportion of eukaryotic genomes, and can often contribute to evolution of particular groups (Wessler 2006). To determine whether the transposable element composition differed among these bobtail squid genomes, we performed a preliminary analysis of repetitive element composition using DNAPipeTE, a tool which allows for the estimation of repetitive content proportion and composition from low-coverage genomic data (Goubert et al. 2015). In addition to the four species mentioned above, we generated 46.18 Gb of 150 bp paired-end
Table 1 Species gathered in this study with sequencing library information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Data (Gb)</th>
<th>Number of reads (pre-filtering)</th>
<th>Number of reads (post-filtering)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Euprymna hyllebergi</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>1,034,728,000</td>
<td>1,012,887,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euprymna albatrossae</td>
<td>122.3</td>
<td>953,767,994</td>
<td>935,975,416</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Euprymna scolopes</td>
<td>46.18</td>
<td>322,739,228</td>
<td>300,217,312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rondeletiola minor</td>
<td>104.7</td>
<td>873,945,708</td>
<td>849,829,818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sepietta neglecta</td>
<td>171.97</td>
<td>1,151,520,128</td>
<td>1,128,800,612</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Estimates of genome size and heterozygosity for representative sepiolid squids

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Heterozygosity Min</th>
<th>Heterozygosity Max</th>
<th>Genome Size Min (Gb)</th>
<th>Genome Size Max (Gb)</th>
<th>% Repeats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. hyllebergi</td>
<td>1.362%</td>
<td>1.512%</td>
<td>4.247</td>
<td>4.294</td>
<td>46.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. albatrossae</td>
<td>0.910%</td>
<td>1.196%</td>
<td>4.356</td>
<td>4.421</td>
<td>46.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. minor</td>
<td>1.883%</td>
<td>1.996%</td>
<td>3.335</td>
<td>3.381</td>
<td>49.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. neglecta</td>
<td>2.149%</td>
<td>2.247%</td>
<td>3.389</td>
<td>3.411</td>
<td>44.8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Genomic sequence for E. scolopes, which, although not enough coverage to enable genome size estimation with GenomeScope, allowed us to determine the repeat landscape using DNAPipeTE. Our analysis showed that while the proportion of repetitive content remained relatively stable (Fig. S2), the content of these regions differed between genera. In Euprymna LINEs (Long Interspersed Nuclear Elements) comprise between 48.2 and 50.5% of the identifiable repetitive elements (Fig. 2), whereas they represent 39.0% in R. minor and 39.2% in S. neglecta. This is offset by a relative increase in the proportion of SINEs (Short Interspersed Nuclear Elements, 1.9%–2.6% in Euprymna; 4.8% in R. minor; 4.7% in S. neglecta) and LTRs (Long Terminal Repeats, 17.9–19.0% in Euprymna; 27.9% in R. minor; 32.4% in S. neglecta) in S. neglecta and R. minor. The sequence divergence between reads and contigs in the DNAPipeTE dataset can be used to estimate the age distribution of repetitive elements in the genome (Goubert et al. 2015), which can suggest when these elements were acquired in the lineages examined. Our data showed that, in accordance with the published analysis of the E. scolopes genome (Belcaid et al. 2019), all three Euprymna species exhibited a recent large-scale acquisition of LINEs that is not present in R. minor or S. neglecta (Fig. 3). Belcaid et al. suggested that this recent acquisition was responsible for the drastic difference in genome size between O. bimaculoides and E. scolopes, but our data suggest that the acquisition of the LINEs was recent enough that it may explain the large difference between the estimated genome sizes of Euprymna species and R. minor and S. neglecta.

Interestingly, these data suggest that the evolution of the Euprymna genus may be associated with an increase in genome size and a decrease in genetic variation. One potential explanation for this phenomenon is that the origin of the Euprymna genus was associated with an increase in genome size due to LINE proliferation in this lineage before its diversification and expansion across the Pacific (Soto et al. 2012; Soto and Nishiguchi 2014). LINEs are autonomous, Type I retrotransposons, and thus are able to replicate themselves if not silenced through epigenetic targeting or other means (Wessler 2006). They have been implicated in the rapid diversification of other genera, such as in the Antarctic fish genus Trematomus, where it is postulated that a breakdown of epigenetic control of transposable elements, such as LINEs, possibly due to environmental stressors, led to TE reactivation and proliferation (Auvinet et al. 2018). In Hydra, accumulation of sequences from a single LINE subgroup, CR1, led to the origination and diversification of a subset of the genus, the brown hydras (Wong et al. 2019). Similarly, the LINE accumulation in the genus Euprymna is also dominated by the CR1 subfamily, suggesting that it may promote diversification in several invertebrate lineages. After the establishment of the genus, our data suggest that it is possible that particular Euprymna species were generated by the restriction of small founder populations to sites in the Pacific that led to reduced genetic variation from the original population (Jones et al. 2006). More recently, it has been shown that divergence times among Euprymna species are large (5.1–18.6%), but less than those between Euprymna and Sepiola species (33.8–33.4%; Sanchez et al. 2019). It has also been shown that E. albatrossae mitochondrial haplotype distribution is strongly affected by geography and therefore can easily become genetically isolated (Coryell et al. 2018).
trend is also shown in R. minor, in which mitochondrial haplotypes are completely segregated between populations in the Mediterranean Sea and the Bay of Biscay (Zamborsky and Nishiguchi 2011). Interestingly, this 2011 study showed little to no within-population variation at the mitochondrial sequence level between these two allopatric populations, suggesting that the species is contiguous between the Mediterranean Sea and Atlantic Ocean, with high levels of introgression. Future sequencing efforts including more individuals of each species and more species within the family will allow us to determine relative population sizes and gene flow between populations to tease apart these hypotheses. In addition, as the non-symbiotic S. neglecta does not appear to have major genome losses or gains relative to all other taxa sampled as measured by genome size and repeat divergences, it is unlikely that the loss of the light organ in this species is due to a large-scale event that drastically altered genome size.

Assembly of E. albatrossae and E. hyllebergi genomes

To leverage our short-read data, we decided to assemble the genomes of E. hyllebergi and E. albatrossae using a reference-based approach. While the genomes of S. neglecta and R. minor are of great interest, we decided not to pursue them further at this time, as the only reference genome available within the Sepiolidae is for E. scolopes, and our genome size estimation suggested that the genomes of R. minor and S. neglecta may be different enough to preclude scaffolding on a species from a different genus. E. hyllebergi and E. albatrossae, however, have estimated genome sizes comparable to the 5.1 Gb E. scolopes genome (Belcaid et al. 2019). To assemble the genomes of interest, we first used Abyss 2.0 to perform de novo genome assemblies on our E. hyllebergi dataset using k-mers of 44 (k44), 66 (k66), and 88 (k88) nucleotides. We then used Quast (Gurevich et al. 2013) to determine which assembly appeared to be the most

---

**Fig. 3** Timing of TE acquisition in bobtail squid genomes as estimated by sequence divergence. Stacked bar charts denote the proportion of the genome composed of TEs relative to the divergence of the TEs from the assembled DNAPipeTE contigs, a reliable metric of the timing of TE acquisition. Colors denote different TE families, with DNA Transposons (DNA) shown in red/orange hues, Helitron TEs in maroon, LINE (Long Interspersed Nuclear Element) families in blue/blue-violet (including CR1), Long Terminal Repeats (LTR) in green, and Short Interspersed Nuclear Elements (SINEs) shown in purple.
promising for future analysis. Quast compares genome assemblies by generating statistics such as the N50 value (Thrash et al. 2020) of the genome build and calculating the number of conserved single-copy orthologs (BUSCOs; Simão et al. 2015) that the de novo genome contains. As the k66 assembly had the highest N50 of 4028 and the highest proportion of complete and fragmented BUSCO groups (9.57 and 3.3%, respectively), we decided to use it for further assembly.

To reduce the amount of alternative contigs generated because of genome heterozygosity, we used the Redundans software package (Pryszcz and Gabaldón 2016) to remove redundant contigs from our E. hyllebergi and E. albatrossae datasets. The reduction changed the total length of both assemblies by about 2/3 (6.78 to 2.87 Gb for E. hyllebergi and 6.27 to 2.88 Gb for E. albatrossae), but did not change the total number of BUSCOs present in the data, suggesting that little to no coding information was lost in the process (Table 3, Redundans k66).

Finally, to scaffold the representative sequences onto the published E. scolopes genome, we used Chromosomer, a software package that, in conjunction with the NCBI BLAST package, allows for the generation of larger genomic scaffolds using the genome of a closely related species as a reference (Altschul et al. 1990; Tamazian et al. 2016). This process increased the size and completeness of both genome assemblies. For E. hyllebergi, the final N50 was 1.21 Mb and the assembly contained all or some portion of 78.88–81.19% of the predicted conserved orthologs of eukaryotic protein-coding genes and are about 1.5 Gb in size; 29.4% of the E. scolopes genome size of about 5.1 Gb. This discrepancy suggests that our assembled sequence is enriched in protein-coding areas of the genome, which is expected as those regions are less likely to be repetitive and are easier to assemble with short-read data. Therefore, our analysis suggests that genome assembly using affordable short-read data, which, as opposed to long-read sequencing, can often be obtained from archival samples, can generate useful information about protein sequence and content, even in large repetitive genomes such as those found in the Cephalopoda.

**Future directions**

Our analysis serves as a jumping-off point for further analysis of genomes within the bobtail squid. One line of inquiry that is of great interest to us is the multiple instances of symbiosis loss within this clade. The sequencing of complete genomes of taxa within the Sepiolidae, particularly those that have lost the ability to form light-organ symbioses in the genera Sepietta and Rossia (see Fig. 1), will allow us to compare gene content and genome architecture within the group to determine the genomic signatures of symbiosis loss. Population-level analyses using whole genome sequencing or reduced representation techniques will also allow us to determine what, if any, genes are under selection in different lineages, and therefore gain a picture of the evolutionary trajectory of host genes associated with symbiosis. Population genetics using whole genomes within the cephalopods is in its infancy, and the geographic range, differential isolation, and contrasts in environ-
ment of sepiolid squid mean that the clade presents a unique opportunity to examine how these factors affect the genetics and evolution of cephalopods. In addition, the variable presence of symbiosis within the group means that we can leverage host and symbiont genomes to address co-evolution between partners at the level of the population to determine whether host or symbiont drive changes in protein-coding genes associated with the mutualism.

Materials and methods

Field collection

Adult *Euprymna hyllebergi* (Rayong, Thailand) and *E. albatrossae* (San Juan Barotac Viejo, Iloilo, Panay, Philippines) squid (∼2–4 cm in mantle length) were acquired either by dip or seine net during the evening when dark. Captured squids were brought back to the laboratory and placed on ice to anesthetize them prior to dissection or immediately placed in RNA later for total RNA or DNA extraction. For *E. hyllebergi* and *E. albatrossae*, the species designations were confirmed by sequencing of the mitochondrial gene COI as previously described (Nishiguchi et al. 2004; Guerrero-Ferreira and Nishiguchi 2007; Guerrero-Ferreira et al. 2013; Coryell et al. 2018). Adult *Sepietta neglecta* were collected by SCUBA in 7–10 m water in the Bai des Elmes (Banyuls Sur-Mer, France) and subsequently collected by SCUBA in 7–10 m water in the Bai des Elmes (Banyuls Sur-Mer, France). Adult *Euprymna scolopes* were collected using dip nets in Paiko Lagoon in Oahu, Hawaii. After collection, the animals were anesthetized and then preserved in ethanol.

DNA extraction

For all genomic surveys, a single individual was sequenced due to the high rate of heterozygosity found in marine organisms (DeWoody and Avise 2000). *E. albatrossae* and *E. hyllebergi* DNA was extracted using approximately 25 μg of preserved tissue that was dissected from the gill or mantle of each squid. Dissected tissues were washed with 100 μL of nuclease-free water to remove any residual preservative. DNA was extracted using the DNeasy® blood and tissue kit protocol for animal tissues (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). All genomic DNA extractions were visualized on a 1% agarose gel and quantified using a Nanodrop 9600 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, MA). *R. minor* and *S. neglecta* DNA samples were extracted by the TCGB Genomics core at UCLA.

Library preparation, sequencing, and read processing

For each animal, a single genomic DNA library with an insert size of approximately 200 bp was generated at the UCLA TCGB Genomics core using the Kapa Hyperprep Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Indianapolis, IN). The quality and quantity of input DNA and the resultant sequencing libraries were verified using a TapeStation (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA). Sequences were generated by sequencing of the resultant libraries on the Illumina NovaSeq 4000 using 150 bp, paired-end sequencing (*E. albatrossae*, *E. hyllebergi*, *R. minor*) or with an Illumina HiSeq 3000 using 150 bp, paired-end sequencing (*S. neglecta*). Reads were filtered and trimmed using FastP software (Chen et al. 2018). Reads that contain at least 40% bases with a quality score lower than 15 and those that have a length of 15 bp or smaller were removed from the analysis. Specific parameters used for our data were that the 5' and 3' ends of the reads were trimmed if the mean quality of the sliding window was below the default quality cutoff (–5 and –3 options), polyG read tails were trimmed (–g option). Results of the sequencing, filtering, and trimming process can be found in Table 1.

Repetitive content estimation

The repetitive content of the genomes was estimated by the use of DNAPipeTE, a software package that allows for the estimation of repetitive element proportion and composition from low-coverage short-read sequencing (Goubert et al. 2015). Using the trimmed and filtered read dataset generated in section 3.3, a subset of 10 million reads was randomly selected by DNAPipeTE after normalization and then standard software parameters were used.

Genome statistics and assembly

Kmer-based genome size and heterozygosity estimations were performed by generating a kmer frequency histogram using Jellyfish with a kmer size of 21 (Marçais and Kingsford 2011). The diagram was then used as input to GenomeScope2.0 (Ranallo-Benavidez et al. 2020) which estimates the genome size and heterozygosity.

We used Abyss 2.0 to generate the initial de novo genome contigs for *E. hyllebergi* and *E. albatrossae* (Jackman et al. 2017). To determine which k-mer was most appropriate, we generated de novo assemblies from our *E. hyllebergi* data using k-mers of 44, 66, and 88 and then compared the resultant genomic scaffolds using Quast (Gurevich et al. 2013) to determine which k-mer generated the most complete assembly. Because of the high level of heterozygosity in marine samples, we
also tested the redundancy reduction utility Redundans (Pryszcz and Gabaldón 2016) on our assembly, however, the Quast analysis suggested that it significantly reduced the assembled genome size after Chromosomer scaffolding. After identifying the best input assembly, we used Chromosomer (Tamariz et al. 2016) to scaffold the de novo contigs using the published E. scolopes genome (Belcaid et al. 2019). Finally, the protein-coding content of the genomes were predicted by Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs, or BUSCO, analyses using the built-in utility in Quast with the eukaryotic ortholog (eukaryota_odb9) dataset which contains 303 total BUSCO groups (Simão et al. 2015).
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